

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/663,021

Applicant(s)
Yang et al

Examiner
Sheila V. Clark

Art Unit
2815



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheila V. Clark

(3) _____

(2) Mikio Ishimaru

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 10, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorney inquired how the claims could be amended in response to the final rejection rendered. It was relayed that the novel features as relayed in the disclosure of the instant invention were relative to a recessed conductive layer structure in a channel and a special orientation of a cap on the recessed structure that have not been claimed. It was also relayed that caps in this type of invention were popular and that the applicant should consider amendments that would distinguish his invention over the now popularized prior art caps. It was also relayed to the applicant that the incorporating of new features at this stage of the prosecution may not be entered if a new search is required based upon new issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

SHEILA V. CLARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2815

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required